

**EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT****ROUTING SLIP**

TO:		ACTION	INFO	DATE	INITIAL
1	DCI				
2	DDCI				
3	EXDIR				
4	D/ICS				
5	DDI				
6	DDA				
7	DDO				
8	DDS&T				
9	Chm/NIC				
10	GC				
11	IG				
12	Compt				
13	D/OLL	X (w/o Attachment)			
14	D/PAO				
15	D/PERS				
16	VC/NIC				
17	C/CCISCMS	X (With Attachment)			
18	ES		X (w/o Atch)		
19	<b>EX</b>				
20					
21					
22					
SUSPENSE		13 Jun 86			
		Date			

Remarks To # 17: Please coordinate with D/OCA re transmittal note after you make changes. (Pls answer DDCI's question on return package)

STAT

Executive Secretary  
6 Jun 86

Date

3637 (10-81)

**EXECUTIVE SECRET** **Administrative Registry**

ROUTING SLIP

86-2429/1

TO:

		ACTION	INFO	DATE	INITIAL
1	DCI		X		
	<del>██████████</del>	X			
3	EXDIR				
4	D/ICS				
5	DDI				
6	DDA				
7	DDO				
8	DDS&T				
9	Chm/NIC				
10	GC				
11	IG				
12	Compt				
13	D/OLL				
14	D/PAO				
15	D/PERS				
16	VC/NIC				
17	ES				
18	EX		X		
19					
20					
21					
22					

SUSPENSE

Date

Remarks

To 2: For action as you determine.

Executive Secretary

2 June 1986

Date

3637 (10-81)

STAT

STAT

DCI  
EXEC  
REG

**DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE**

2 June 1986

Executive Registry
86- 2429

MEMORANDUM FOR: DDCI  
FROM: DCI  
SUBJECT: Hostile Intelligence Threat

This looks to me like something we shouldn't have any flap about. If you agree, why don't you have Dave Gries handle it.



William J. Casey

Attachment:  
Memorandum-Hostile Intelligence Threat



Mr. Casey,

29/5-

STAT

[redacted] thinks this can be published and agrees with the last paragraph of Larry's note.

Nothing in the document is Secret. However, State and DoD want the section on the PRC deleted. They think the PRC will renege on commitments they've made to us if this is published. Gus doesn't agree with this assessment, but defers to the China experts. (I have sent the PRC pages to the DO and the DI for comment.) The FBI very much wants the China section left in.

STAT

[redacted]

INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY STAFF

Executive Registry

86- 2364x

28 May 1986

☐ NOTE FOR DCI

Attached per your request is a proposed final draft of the unclassified assessment of the hostile intelligence threat. We have endeavored to accommodate suggested changes of the Intelligence Community; particularly with respect to State's concerns about the nature and degree of the PRC threat.

The question remaining, and of concern to the Community, is whether an unclassified version of the threat should be produced at all.

My recommendation is that it is better for us as the Community to furnish the SSCI with our assessment of the threat than to have the Committee do one on its own.

cc: DDCI  
D/ICS

INFORMATION



STAT

STAT

## ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Unclassified Assessment of the Hostile Intelligence Threat

FROM:

DCI/DDCI Executive Staff

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

29 May 1986

STAT

STAT

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1.

C/EA/DO (has copy)

2.

D/OEA/DI

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

1 & 2: This is an excerpt from an unclassified assessment of the hostile intelligence threat, prepared for the SSCI. It is likely that this assessment will be published.

The Departments of State and Defense are concerned about the impact of this excerpt on our relations with the PRC. The assessment of CI Staff is, that while they may not like it, the Chinese will not renege on commitments to us. Comments?

*I basically agree with CI Staff; however, I would delete the reference to longer term concerns because it doesn't explain what those concerns are and gratuitously implies a more hostile Chinese intent down the road -- maybe, but we are far away from that point and I don't see what point is served in parading the concern at this time*  
D/OEA

*P.S. C/EA/DO has informal info that there are some other considerations regarding the longer term concerns and I'll be glad to defer to his judgment as to whether we should use the last 2 sentences*

**Page Denied**